

On the geometry of fiber product preserving bundle functors¹

Ivan Kolář

Abstract. Using the description of a fiber product preserving bundle functor F in terms of Weil algebras, we deduce several geometric properties of the F -prolongations of principal and associated bundles. Then we clarify that the flow prolongation with respect to F of a projectable vector field can be constructed by using a natural morphism.

Keywords. Fiber product preserving bundle functor, Weil algebra, principal bundle, associated bundle.

MS classification. 58A05, 58A20.

Our starting point is the classical result reading that the product preserving bundle functors on the category $\mathcal{M}f$ of all smooth manifolds and all smooth maps coincide with the Weil functors and their natural transformations are in bijection with the algebra homomorphisms, see [7] for a survey. Hence the Weil algebras can be viewed as a unified technique for investigating the geometric properties of all these functors. Some recent geometric results deduced in this way can be found in ([2, 3, 5]).

Let \mathcal{FM}_m be the category of fibered manifolds with m -dimensional bases and fiber preserving maps with local diffeomorphisms as base maps, [7]. A fiber product preserving bundle functor F on \mathcal{FM}_m was characterized in terms of Weil algebras (in [6]), which is summarized in Section 1 of the present paper. We point out that the most important examples are

- (i) the r -th jet prolongation $J^r Y$ of a fibered manifold $p : Y \rightarrow M$, $m = \dim M$,
- (ii) the r -th vertical jet prolongation of Y

$$J_v^r Y = \bigcup_{x \in M} J_x^r(M, Y_x),$$

¹This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere. The author was supported by a grant of the GAČR No. 201/99/0296 and the project MSM 143100009.

where $J_x^r(M, Y_x)$ is the space of all r -jets of M into the fiber Y_x with source x ,

(iii) the vertical A -prolongation of Y

$$V^A Y = \bigcup_{x \in M} T^A(Y_x),$$

where A is a Weil algebra and T^A is the corresponding Weil functor,

(iv) iterations and some subbundles, for instance the second non-holonomic prolongation $\tilde{J}^2 Y = J^1(J^1 Y \rightarrow M)$ and the second semiholonomic prolongation $\bar{J}^2 Y \subset \tilde{J}^2 Y$.

In [4], the iteration of two fiber product preserving bundle functors on \mathcal{FM}_m is described. This description is heavily based on the fact that F induces a principal bundle $W^F P(M, W_H^A G)$ for every principal bundle $P(M, G)$. Moreover, if $P[S, I]$ is a fiber bundle associated to P , then $F(P[S, I])$ is a fiber bundle associated to $W^F P$.

The present paper is devoted to some geometric constructions that are applicable to each F . In Section 2 we deduce a Weilian formula for the Lie algebra of the structure group $W_H^A G$ of $W^F P$. We also characterize geometrically the prolongation of the infinitesimal action of the left action $l : G \times S \rightarrow S$. The main result of Section 3 is Proposition 4 reading that the flow prolongation $\mathcal{F}\eta$ of a projectable vector field $\eta : Y \rightarrow TY$ over a vector field $\xi : M \rightarrow TM$ can be constructed by applying a natural morphism μ_Y^F to the functorial prolongation $\mathcal{F}\eta : FY \rightarrow FTY$ and the r -th jet prolongation $j^r \xi$, where r is the base order of F .

Unless otherwise specified, we use the terminology and notation from ([7]). All manifolds and maps are assumed to be infinitely differentiable.

1. The foundations

Let F be a bundle functor on \mathcal{FM}_m . We say that F preserves fiber products, if $F_x(Y \times_M \bar{Y}) = F_x Y \times F_x \bar{Y}$ for every pair of fibered manifolds Y, \bar{Y} over the same base M and each $x \in M$. The construction of product fibered manifolds and product morphisms defines an injection $\iota : \mathcal{M}f_m \times \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}_m$, $\iota(M, N) = M \times N \rightarrow M$, $\iota(f, g) = f \times g$, where $\mathcal{M}f_m$ means the category of m -dimensional manifolds and local diffeomorphisms. Then the restriction $\tilde{F} = F \circ \iota$ is a bundle functor on $\mathcal{M}f_m \times \mathcal{M}f$. Clearly, \tilde{F} induces a bundle functor G on $\mathcal{M}f$ as follows. For every manifold N we set $GN = \tilde{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^m, N)$, $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for every $f : N \rightarrow \bar{N}$ we define $Gf = \tilde{F}_0(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}, f) : GN \rightarrow G\bar{N}$. Since F preserves fiber products, G preserves products. By the classical theory, [7], there exists a Weil algebra A such that $G = T^A$.

An integer r is called the base order of F , if $j_x^r f_1 = j_x^r f_2$ implies

$$(1) \quad \tilde{F}_x(f_1, g) = \tilde{F}_x(f_2, g)$$

for every pair of local diffeomorphisms $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ and every $g : N \rightarrow \bar{N}$, [6]. In this case, consider $X = j_0^r \varphi \in G_m^r$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a diffeomorphism, $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then the maps $F_0(\varphi \times \text{id}_N) : T^A N \rightarrow T^A N$ determine a natural

equivalence $T^A \rightarrow T^A$ depending on X only. By the classical result, this defines a map $H : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$, where $\text{Aut } A$ is the group of all algebra automorphisms of A . By [6], H is a group homomorphism. Denote by H_N the induced left action of G_m^r on $T^A N$. Then $\tilde{F}(M, N)$ coincides with the associated bundle

$$(2) \quad \tilde{F}(M, N) = P^r M[T^A N, H_N],$$

where $P^r M$ is r -th order frame bundle of M . Consider a local diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ and a map $g : N \rightarrow \bar{N}$. By naturality, $T^A g : T^A N \rightarrow T^A \bar{N}$ is a G_m^r -equivariant map. Since $P^r f : P^r M \rightarrow P^r \bar{M}$ is a local isomorphism of principal bundles, we can construct the induced map

$$P^r f[T^A g] : P^r M[T^A N] \rightarrow P^r \bar{M}[T^A \bar{N}]$$

and we have

$$(3) \quad \tilde{F}(f, g) = P^r f[T^A g] : \tilde{F}(M, N) \rightarrow \tilde{F}(\bar{M}, \bar{N}),$$

see, [6]. Conversely, given a Weil algebra A and a group homomorphism $H : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$, then (2) and (3) define a bundle functor on $\mathcal{M}f_m \times \mathcal{M}f$, [6].

Moreover, F induces a natural transformation $t_Y : J^r Y \rightarrow FY$ as follows. Every section $s : M \rightarrow Y$ can be interpreted as a morphism \tilde{s} of the trivial fibered manifold $\text{id}_M : M \rightarrow M$ into Y and we set

$$(4) \quad t_Y(j_x^r s) = (F\tilde{s})(x), \quad x \in M.$$

The restriction of this natural transformation to the products $\mathbb{R}^m \times N$ yields a G_m^r -equivariant algebra homomorphism $t : \mathbb{D}_m^r \rightarrow A$, where $\mathbb{D}_m^r = J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$, [6]. Then FY is identified with the set of all equivalence classes $\{u, Z\} \in \tilde{F}(M, Y) = P^r M[T^A Y]$, $u \in P^r M$, $Z \in T^A Y$ satisfying

$$(5) \quad t_M(u) = T^A p(Z),$$

where $t_M : T_m^r M \rightarrow T^A M$ denotes the map induced by t and the inclusion $P^r M \subset T_m^r M$ is taken into account. Conversely, given A, H and an equivariant algebra homomorphism $t : \mathbb{D}_m^r \rightarrow A$, then (5) determines a subspace $FY \subset \tilde{F}(M, Y)$. If $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is the underlying base map of an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_m$ -morphism $f : Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$, then $\tilde{F}(\bar{f}, f)$ maps FY into $F\bar{Y}$. This defines a fiber product preserving bundle functor $\bar{F} = (A, H, t)$ on $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_m$, [6].

2. On the prolongation of principal and associated bundles

In general, the canonical injection $v_M : M \rightarrow T^A M$ can be constructed as follows. Consider a singleton pt and write $i_x : pt \rightarrow M$ for the map $i_x(pt) = x$. Then $T^A i_x : pt \rightarrow T^A M$ and we have

$$(6) \quad v_M(x) = (T^A i_x)(pt).$$

If V is a vector space, then $T^A V$ is also a vector space. The scalar multiplication $m_V : \mathbb{R} \times V \rightarrow V$ induces $T^A m_V : A \times T^A V \rightarrow T^A V$.

We shall write

$$(7) \quad az = T^A m_V(a, z), \quad a \in A, z \in T^A V.$$

The rule

$$(8) \quad v \otimes a \approx T^A m_V(a, v_V(v)), \quad a \in A, v \in V,$$

identifies $T^A V$ with the tensor product $V \otimes A$.

If $\gamma : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is the multiplication of a Lie group G , then $T^A G$ with the multiplication $T^A \gamma$ is also a Lie group. The Lie algebra $\text{Lie } G = \mathfrak{g}$ is a subset in TG , so that $T^A \mathfrak{g} \subset T^A TG$. In [7, p. 328], it is proved that the canonical exchange map $\varkappa_G : T^A TG \rightarrow TT^A G$ identifies $T^A \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ with $\text{Lie}(T^A G)$. The bracket in $\text{Lie}(T^A G)$ satisfies

$$(9) \quad [v_1 \otimes a_1, v_2 \otimes a_2] = [v_1, v_2] \otimes a_1 a_2,$$

$v_i \in \mathfrak{g}, a_i \in A, i = 1, 2$, where the bracket $[v_1, v_2]$ is in \mathfrak{g} and the product $a_1 a_2$ is in A .

Remark 1. The Weil algebra corresponding to the functor T_k^r of (k, r) -velocities is \mathbb{D}_k^r . Even in this classical situation, (9) gives a very suitable description of the bracket of $\text{Lie}(T_k^r G)$.

Let A or H be the Weil algebra or the group homomorphism $H : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ determined by F . In [4], it is deduced that every principal bundle $P(M, G)$ induces a principal bundle $W^F P(M, W_H^A G)$, where

$$(10) \quad W^F P = P^r M \times_M F P$$

and the structure group $W_H^A G$ is the semi-direct product $W_H^A G = G_m^r \rtimes_H T^A G$ with the multiplication

$$(11) \quad (X_1, Z_1)(X_2, Z_2) = \left(X_1 \circ X_2, T^A \gamma(H(X_2)_G(Z_1), Z_2) \right),$$

$$X_i \in G_m^r, Z_i \in T^A G.$$

The right action $\varrho : P \times_M (M \times G) \rightarrow P$ of G on P induces

$$F\varrho : F P \times_M P^r M [T^A G] \rightarrow F P$$

and the right action of $W_H^A G$ on $W^F P$ is given by

$$(u, U)(X, Z) = \left(u \circ X, F\varrho(U, \{u \circ X, Z\}) \right),$$

$$u \in P^r M, U \in F P.$$

The group homomorphism $H : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ determines a Lie algebra homomorphism $h : \mathfrak{g}_m^r \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\text{Aut } A) \approx \text{Der } A$. Using the theory of semi-direct products of Lie algebras, [1], we obtain

Proposition 1. *The multiplication in Lie $W_H^A G = \mathfrak{g}_m^r \rtimes (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)$ is of the form*

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & [(X_1, v_1 \otimes a_1), (X_2, v_2 \otimes a_2)] = \\ & ([X_1, X_2], v_2 \otimes h(X_1)(a_2) - v_1 \otimes h(X_2)(a_1) + [v_1, v_2] \otimes a_1 a_2), \end{aligned}$$

where the bracket $[X_1, X_2]$ or $[v_1, v_2]$ is in \mathfrak{g}_m^r or \mathfrak{g} , respectively.

Remark 2. This formula seems to be new even in the case $F = J^r$, in which $W^{J^r} P = W^r P$ is the r -th principal prolongation of P , [7, p. 150]. This situation appears in the gauge theories of mathematical physics.

In many geometrical problems related with the associated bundle $P[S, l]$, one uses the infinitesimal action of G on S . We shall interpret it as a map $I(l) : \mathfrak{g} \times S \rightarrow TS$,

$$(14) \quad I(l) = Tl \circ (\iota \times 0_S),$$

where $\iota : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow TG$ is the canonical injection and 0_S is the zero section of TS . We can construct $T^A(I(l)) : T^A \mathfrak{g} \times T^A S \rightarrow T^A TS$.

Consider the induced action $T^A l : T^A G \times T^A S \rightarrow T^A S$.

Proposition 2. *We have*

$$(15) \quad I(T^A l) = \kappa_S \circ T^A(I(l)).$$

Proof. Applying T^A to (14), we obtain

$$T^A(I(l)) = T^A Tl \circ (T^A \iota \times T^A 0_S).$$

The naturality of κ yields

$$\kappa_S \circ T^A(I(l)) = TT^A l \circ (\kappa_G \circ T^A \iota \times \kappa_S \circ T^A 0_S).$$

But $\kappa_G \circ T^A \iota$ is the injection of $\text{Lie}(T^A G)$ into $TT^A G$ and one verifies immediately $\kappa_S \circ T^A 0_S = 0_{T^A S}$. \square

We are going to characterize $I(T^A l)$ in another way. For every vector field $\zeta : S \rightarrow TS$, we write $T^A \zeta : T^A S \rightarrow TT^A S$ for its flow prolongation. We have $T^A \zeta = \kappa_S \circ T^A \zeta$, [7]. Consider the injection $i_v : pt \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$I(l)(v) := I(l) \circ (i_v \times \text{id}_S)$$

is a vector field on S . If $1 \in A$ is the unit, then $v \otimes 1 \in V \otimes A$ and $I(T^A l)(v \otimes 1)$ is a vector field on $T^A S$.

Lemma 1. *$I(T^A l)(v \otimes 1)$ coincides with $T^A(I(l)(v))$.*

Proof. We have $T^A(I(l)(v)) = \kappa_S \circ T^A(I(l) \circ (i_v \times \text{id}_S)) = I(T^A l)(T^A i_v \times 0_{T^A S})$. But (7) and (8) imply $T^A i_v = i_{v \otimes 1}$. \square

We recall that every $a \in A$ induces a natural map $L(a)_S : TT^A S \rightarrow TT^A S$, [7].

Lemma 2. For every $a \in A$ and $z \in T^A \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$(16) \quad I(T^A l)(az) = L(a)_S \circ I(T^A l)(z).$$

Proof. In general, the naturality of $L(a)$ yields

$$TT^A l(L(a)_G(Z), L(a)_S(X)) = L(a)_S(TT^A l(Z, S))$$

for every $Z \in TT^A G$ and $X \in TT^A S$. We put i_z on the place of Z and $0_{T^A S}$ on the place of X . Then $L_G(a) \circ i_z = i_{az}$ by (7), $TT^A l(i_z, 0_{T^A S}) = I(T^A l)(z)$ by definition and $L(a)_S \circ 0_{T^A S} = 0_{T^A S}$ by linearity of $L(a)_S$. \square

Combining Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain immediately

Proposition 3. For every $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ and every $a \in A$, we have

$$(17) \quad I(T^A l)(v \otimes a) = L(a)_S \circ T^A(I(l)(v)).$$

Consider the associated bundle $P[S, l]$. By [4], $F(P[S, l])$ is an associated bundle $W^F P[T^A S, W_H^A l]$, where the action $W_H^A l : W_H^A G \times T^A S \rightarrow T^A S$ is given by

$$W_H^A l((X, Z), Q) = H(X)_S(T^A l(Z, Q)),$$

where $X \in G_m^r$, $Z \in T^A G$, $Q \in T^A S$.

In order to characterize the infinitesimal action $I(W_H^A l)$, we use the semi-direct decomposition $W_H^A G = G_m^r \rtimes_H T^A G$. The infinitesimal action of G_m^r on $T^A S$ is given by the natural transformations $H_S(X)$, so its infinitesimal action is $I(H_S)$. An element $(X, v \otimes a) \in \text{Lie}(W_H^A G) = \mathfrak{g}_m^r \rtimes (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)$ is the sum $X + v \otimes a$. This implies

$$(18) \quad I(W_H^A l)(X, v \otimes a) = I(H_S)(X) + L(a)_S \circ T^A(I(l)(v)).$$

3. Prolongation of vector fields

If ξ is a vector field on M , then its flow prolongation $\mathcal{P}^r \xi$ is a right-invariant vector field on $P^r M$, whose value at every $u \in P_x^r M$ depends on $j_x^r \xi =: X$ only, [7]. Write $i(u, X)\mathcal{P}^r = \xi(u)$, so that

$$(19) \quad i : P^r M \times_M J^r T M \rightarrow T P^r M.$$

By Section 1, for every fibered manifold $p : Y \rightarrow M$ we have

$$FTY = F(TY \rightarrow M) \subset P^r M[T^A TY].$$

Consider $\{u, Z\} \in FTY$, $u \in P^r M$, $Z \in T^A TY$. Then $\kappa_Y Z \in TT^A Y$, $\kappa_Y Z = (\partial/\partial t)|_0 \zeta(t)$, $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T^A Y$. Taking into account the natural transformation $t_{TM} : J^r T M \rightarrow FTM$ and the projection $FTp : FTY \rightarrow FTM$, we can construct the fiber product $J^r T M \times_{FTM} FTY$. Consider

$$(20) \quad (X, Z) \in J^r T M \times_{FTM} FTY$$

and any $u \in P^r M$ over the same base point. Write

$$i(u, X) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_0 \gamma(t), \quad \gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P^r M.$$

Then (20) implies $t_M(\gamma(t)) = T^A p(\zeta(t))$. So $\{\gamma(t), \zeta(t)\}$ is a curve on FY . Then we define

$$(21) \quad \mu_Y^F(X, \{u, Z\}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_0 \{\gamma(t), \zeta(t)\}.$$

By right-invariancy, this is independent of the choice of u . Hence we obtain a map

$$\mu_Y^F : J^r TM \times_{FTM} FTY \rightarrow T FY.$$

Moreover, it is useful to introduce

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mu}_Y^F : J^r TM \times_{FTM} FTY \rightarrow J^r TM \times_{TM} T FY, \\ & \tilde{\mu}_Y^F(X, \{u, Z\}) = (X, \mu_Y^F(X, \{u, Z\})). \end{aligned}$$

If $V \in T FY$ and $X \in J^r TM$ are over the same element of TM , we can write

$$V = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_0 \{\gamma(t), \chi(t)\}, \quad \chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T^A Y,$$

and construct $\{u, (\partial/\partial t)|_0 \chi(t)\} \in FTY$. This implies that $\tilde{\mu}_Y^F$ is a diffeomorphism.

If $\eta : Y \rightarrow TY$ is a projectable vector field over a vector field $\xi : M \rightarrow TM$, we can construct the functorial prolongation $F\eta : FY \rightarrow FTY$ and the r th jet prolongation $j^r \xi : M \rightarrow J^r TM$. Then the values of $j^r \xi \times_{\text{id}_M} F\eta$ lie in $J^r TM \times_{FTM} FTY$.

Proposition 4. *The flow prolongation $\mathcal{F}\eta$ of η satisfies*

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{F}\eta = \mu_Y^F \circ (j^r \xi \times_{\text{id}_M} F\eta).$$

Proof. In general, for a principal bundle $P(M, G)$ and an associated bundle $P[S]$, one verifies easily that a right-invariant vector field ζ on P and a left-invariant vector field σ on S induce a vector field $\{\zeta, \sigma\}$ on $P[S]$. In our case, the flow construction implies that the vector field

$$(24) \quad \{\mathcal{P}^r \xi, T^A \eta\} = \{\varkappa_M \circ T_m^r \xi, \varkappa_G \circ T^A \eta\}$$

on $P^r M[T^A Y]$ is restrictible to the submanifold FY and this restriction coincides with $\mathcal{F}\eta$. According to Section 1 and [6], the functorial prolongation $F\eta : FY \rightarrow FTY$ of $\eta : Y \rightarrow TY$ is of the form $\text{id}_{P^r M}[T^A \eta]$. Since (19) identifies $j^r \xi$ with $\mathcal{P}^r \xi$, we have

$$j^r \xi \times_{\text{id}_M} F\eta : FY \rightarrow J^r TM \times_{FTM} FTY.$$

Then (23) follows directly from (24). \square

Remark 3. If $\eta : Y \rightarrow VY$ is a vertical vector field, then $F\eta : FY \rightarrow FVY$. In [4], M. Doupovec and the author established a natural exchange isomorphism $\text{ex}_Y : FVY \rightarrow VFY$. Analysing the proof of Proposition 4, we deduce

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{F}\eta = \text{ex}_Y \circ F\eta$$

as a special case of (23).

Remark 4. In the case $F = J^r$, we have $FTM = J^rTM$, so that

$$(26) \quad \mu_Y^{J^r} : J^rTY \rightarrow TJ^rY.$$

This map was constructed in a quite different way by L. Mangiarotti and M. Modugno, [8].

Remark 5. We shall show in a next paper how the isomorphism $\tilde{\mu}_Y^F$ can be used for determining the F -prolongation of the canonical action of the Lie algebroid of a principal bundle P on a vector bundle associated to P .

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, I. (Hermann, Paris, 1971).
- [2] A. Cabras and I. Kolář, Prolongation of tangent valued forms to Weil bundles, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 31 (1995) 139–145.
- [3] A. Cabras and I. Kolář, Prolongation of projectable tangent valued forms, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, to appear.
- [4] M. Doupovec and I. Kolář, Iteration of fiber product preserving bundle functors, *Monatshefte für Math.*, to appear.
- [5] J. Gancarzewicz, W. Mikulski and Z. Pogoda, Lifts of some tensor fields and connections to product preserving bundles, *Nagoya Math. J.* 135 (1994) 1–41.
- [6] I. Kolář and W.M. Mikulski, On the fiber product preserving bundle functors, *Diff. Geom. Appl.* 11 (1999) 105–115.
- [7] I. Kolář, P.W. Michor and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry* (Springer, Berlin, 1993).
- [8] L. Mangiarotti and M. Modugno, New operators on jet spaces, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* 2 (1983) 171–198.

Ivan Kolář
 Department of Algebra and Geometry
 Faculty of Science, Masaryk University
 Janáčkovo nám. 2a
 662 95 Brno
 Czech Republic
 E-mail: kolar@math.muni.cz